

Borough of Manhattan Community College

The City University of New York

Executive Committee of the Academic Senate

Agenda October 13th, 2021 via Zoom

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

III. STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS FROM REPRESENTATIVES:

III.A. CURRICULUM COMMITTEE: Lesley Rennis

III.B. PRESENTATIONS

1.B.1. Course Revision: POL 230 Power in American Politics

FROM:	TO:
Course Description	Course Description
This course analyzes the nature of power in	This course investigates the nature of power,
America. Who governs? How is power	order, and authority and examines how they
exercised? What is the relationship between	appear in American life. What is power?
the private sector and the public sector?	How is it exercised, both inside and outside
These and other areas will be investigated.	government? What brings order to a
The course will examine concepts and	community? How can authority be
approaches to the study of power, including	legitimate? Themes that may be studied in
pluralism, elite, class, and the role of race	this class include American political
and gender.	institutions, organizations, culture, and
	thought; class, race, and gender in the
	United States; and literary portrayals or
	classic theories of power.

Reason(s) for change(s): To replace dated political science jargon with language that explains the themes of the course more clearly, retaining the original core concepts and purposes of the course while affording instructors appropriate flexibility in approaching those themes. Specifically, the old description relies heavily on the terminology of political science debates that were current in the 1960s and 1970s: the narrow focus on the term "power", the question "Who governs?" (the title of a once -prominent but now rarely-read political science text from 1961), the terms "private sector" and "public sector," and the distinction between "pluralis[t], elite, and class" theories of power. Instead, the new description uses a cluster of related concepts (power, order, authority) and avoids trendy terms that are likely to seem dated within a few years.

Vote: Approved: The proposal was approved 14-0-0 pending required revisions

2.B.2. **Course Revision**: POL 260 Political Theory

FROM:	TO:
Course Description	Course Description
This course examines political ideas and	This course examines political ideas and
their relationship to the practice of politics.	explores ways of thinking about politics.
Various theories will be explored, including	The course will address classic works of
liberalism, conservatism, socialism, and	political thought from ancient to modem,
contemporary political thought. The course	contemporary political debates, or perennial
will address questions such as: What is	questions about human nature, freedom,
human nature? What are rights, liberty and	community, and justice.
justice? How might they be achieved? What	
is the proper role of government? Political	
theorists approach these questions	
differently and provide different answers.	
The relevance of theories to current	
political issues is discussed.	

Reason(s) for change(s): To make the description clearer and more succinct, to better reflect the full range of ways the course is taught at BMCC, and to better reflect the full range of equivalent classes at other CUNY colleges.

Vote: Approved: The proposal was approved 14-0-0 pending required revisions

3.B.3. New Curriculum: Licensed Practical Nursing Program, Certificate

Description: New Certificate for Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN). **Vote: Approved:** The proposal was approved 14-0-0 pending required revisions

- III.C. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE: Jennifer Longley 1.C.1. Resolution (below)
- III.D. INSTRUCTION COMMITTEE: Monica Foust
- III.E. ACADEMIC STANDING COMMITTEE: Cynthia Wiseman
- III.F. COMMITTEE ON STUDENT AFFAIRS: Cara Kronen
- III.G. ADMISSIONS COMMITTEE: Benjamin Powell
- III.H. ACADEMIC FREEDOM COMMITTEE: Hollis Glaser
 - 1.H.1. Statement on Government of Colleges and Universities (below)

IV. CHAIR'S REPORT

V. NEW BUSINESS

VI. OLD BUSINESS

VII. ADJOURNMENT

Faculty Development Grant Funding Resolution

Whereas the Faculty Development Grant is currently funded through the BMCC Auxiliary Funds, which are dependent upon revenue generated by vendors contracted by the college and use of the college facilities;

Whereas the COVID-19 pandemic has reduced the number of in-person, on-campus classes resulting in decreased use of facilities and less income generated for the BMCC Auxiliary Fund and in turn the Faculty Development Grant;

Whereas the awards to 2021 Faculty Development Grant recipients were reduced by 40% per award from the announced request for proposals for due to the lack of revenue generated for the BMCC Auxiliary Fund by vendors contracted by the college;

Whereas awards from the 2021 Faculty Development Grant were not disbursed until August 2021;

Be it resolved that the Faculty Development Grant be included as a line item on the BMCC annual budget

Statement on Government of Colleges and Universities

This statement was jointly formulated in 1966 by the American Association of University Professors (AAUP), the American Council on Education (ACE), and the Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges (AGB).

https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-government-colleges-and-universities

In 2017 the AGB issued a statement reiterating their commitment to the Statement on Government of Colleges and Universities.

https://agb.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/2017_statement_sharedgovernance.pdf

The statement recognizes that colleges and universities operate most effectively when there is a mutual and joint effort among its components. It then delineates the roles and responsibilities of the various stakeholders of colleges and universities—the Governing Board, the President, the Faculty and the Students.

The statement reads:

"The faculty has primary responsibility for such fundamental areas as curriculum, subject matter and methods of instruction, research, faculty status, and those aspects of student life which relate to the educational process."

Informed by this statement, the Academic Freedom Committee maintains that CUNY's central administration's mandate regarding the percentage of instructional modality is outside of its purview and is a violation of the principles of shared governance that has guided higher education for over 50 years. These principles of shared governance continue to be vital to the ongoing successful functioning of academic institutions and must be honored.