

Faculty Development Grant Rubric

ELEMENT	4 - Excellent	3 - Very Good	2 - Good	1 - Poor	0 – Inadequate/ Missing
The proposal is as jargon free as possible					
Applicant explains the purpose and significance of the project					
Applicant has demonstrated how the project fits into a larger context of his or her field or discipline					
The specific aims/objectives are clearly stated					
Proposal clearly shows a logical design and methodology for the study's aims/ objectives					
Applicant has demonstrated relevant qualifications for the completion of proposed project					
Proposal has clearly defined outputs, not limited to journals, publication, specific conferences, other presentations/performances					
The applicant has shown how the project will enhance his or her professional development					
Applicant has provided a clear and attainable timeline					
The requested budget aligns with the purpose and timeline of the project					

Rationale for final score. Approximately 50 words. (Feedback will be given anonymously to the applicant):